

Model counting for logical theories

Problem set 3

1. (Von Neumann's problem.) Suppose you are given a coin that turns up heads with probability $p \in (0, 1)$, but you don't know the value of p . Can you *simulate* a fair coin flip using just this (potentially biased) coin? (*Hint*: The running time of your algorithm may follow geometric distribution with parameter that depends on p .)
2. Suppose you are given a fair coin, i.e., one that turns up heads with probability $1/2$. Also suppose you are given a number $p \in (0, 1)$. Can you *simulate* a flip of a biased coin that turns up heads with probability p , just using the fair one?
3. Consider the following random experiment. There is a box with three balls, each of which can be either black or white. In a single step of the experiment, a ball is taken uniformly at random from the box and replaced with a (new) ball of the other colour. This step can be repeated arbitrarily many times.
 - (a) Write the transition probability matrix for the Markov chain whose state is the number of black balls in the box.
 - (b) Compute transition probabilities for two consecutive steps of the experiment.
 - (c) Does the Markov chain have a stationary distribution? (*Hint*: Yes.)
 - (d) Is the stationary distribution unique?
 - (e) Does this Markov chain always converge to a stationary distribution? Why?
4. In the lecture on Thursday we claimed that the constant $\frac{3}{4}$ probability of success of the oracle \mathcal{E} does not prevent us from using the oracle to implement an algorithm with confidence level $1 - \alpha$ for any error probability α . This exercise essentially asks you to demonstrate how this is possible.

You are given an algorithm \mathcal{A} for a decision problem L that is such that

$$\begin{aligned}x \in L &\implies \mathbb{P}[\mathcal{A}(x) \text{ accepts}] \geq 3/4 \\x \notin L &\implies \mathbb{P}[\mathcal{A}(x) \text{ accepts}] \leq 1/4.\end{aligned}$$

You want to design an algorithm \mathcal{B} which

- receives as input a vector (x_1, \dots, x_n) of inputs to \mathcal{A} , and $\alpha \in [0, 1]$,
- returns a vector $(y_1, \dots, y_n) \in \{0, 1\}^n$ such that with probability at least $1 - \alpha$ it holds for all $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ that $y_i = 1$ iff $x_i \in L$.

Algorithm \mathcal{B} has a tunable parameter r and

- runs r independent copies $\mathcal{A}_{i,1}, \dots, \mathcal{A}_{i,r}$ of \mathcal{A} on each x_i , and
- returns a vector $(y_1, \dots, y_n) \in \{0, 1\}^n$ where y_i is the result of the majority vote on x_i :

$$y_i = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } \sum_{j=1}^r \mathcal{A}_{i,j}(x_i) \geq \frac{r}{2}, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

For a given $\alpha \in [0, 1]$ and n , determine a value of the parameter r , for which with probability at least $1 - \alpha$ the the output of \mathcal{B} meets the requirements.

5. Suppose you are given an implementation of the oracle \mathcal{E} from the lecture on Thursday that guarantees for some fixed constants $0 < c < C$ that

$$\begin{aligned} \text{mc}(\varphi) \geq C \cdot 2^m &\implies \mathbb{P}[\mathcal{E}(\varphi, n) = \text{YES}] \geq \frac{3}{4} \\ \text{mc}(\varphi) \leq c \cdot 2^m &\implies \mathbb{P}[\mathcal{E}(\varphi, n) = \text{NO}] \geq \frac{3}{4} \end{aligned}$$

How would you implement an oracle \mathcal{E}' such that

$$\begin{aligned} \text{mc}(\varphi) \geq 2^{m+1} &\implies \mathbb{P}[\mathcal{E}'(\varphi, n) = \text{YES}] \geq \frac{3}{4} \\ \text{mc}(\varphi) \leq 2^m &\implies \mathbb{P}[\mathcal{E}'(\varphi, n) = \text{NO}] \geq \frac{3}{4}. \end{aligned}$$

6. Show that random affine operators over the field of two elements form a family of pairwise independent hash functions. To do this, assume that \mathbf{x} and \mathbf{y} are distinct vectors from $\{0, 1\}^n$, and $\mathbf{w}_1, \mathbf{w}_2$ are some vectors from $\{0, 1\}^m$. Prove that if a matrix $A \in \{0, 1\}^{m \times n}$ and a vector $\mathbf{b} \in \{0, 1\}^m$ are chosen uniformly at random and independently, then the function $h: \{0, 1\}^n \rightarrow \{0, 1\}^m$ given by

$$h(\mathbf{x}) = A \cdot \mathbf{x} + \mathbf{b} \pmod{2}$$

satisfies the equality $\mathbb{P}[h(\mathbf{x}) = \mathbf{w}_1, h(\mathbf{y}) = \mathbf{w}_2] = (1/2^m)^2$.

Hint: If X is any random variable and b is chosen uniformly at random from $\{0, 1\}$ independently from X , then the sum $(X + b) \pmod{2}$ has uniform distribution over $\{0, 1\}$.

7. Complete the proof of the Leftover Hash Lemma (simplified version), which we have seen in the lecture on Thursday:

Let \mathcal{H} be a family of pairwise independent hash functions $h: \{0, 1\}^n \rightarrow \{0, 1\}^m$. Let $S \subseteq \{0, 1\}^n$ satisfy $|S| \geq 4/\rho^2 \cdot 2^m$ for some $\rho > 0$. For $h \in \mathcal{H}$, let Z be the cardinality of the set $\{w \in S: h(w) = 0^m\}$. Prove that

$$\mathbb{P} \left[\left| Z - \frac{|S|}{2^m} \right| \geq \rho \cdot \frac{|S|}{2^m} \right] \leq \frac{1}{4}.$$

8. Assuming the Leftover Hash Lemma (simplified version), show that the Estimate oracle that we have described has the required properties:

- (a) Prove that if $\text{mc}(\varphi) \geq 1000 \cdot 2^m$, then the formula $\psi(\mathbf{x}) := \varphi(\mathbf{x}) \wedge (h(\mathbf{x}) = 0^m)$ is unsatisfiable with probability at most $1/4$.

Guidelines: Suppose $Z = \text{mc}(\psi)$. If $Z = 0$, then $|Z - 1000| \geq 1000$. Apply LHL and find a suitable parameter $\rho > 0$.

- (b) Prove that if $\text{mc}(\varphi) \leq 0.001 \cdot 2^m$, then the formula $\psi(\mathbf{x}) := \varphi(\mathbf{x}) \wedge (h(\mathbf{x}) = 0^m)$ is satisfiable with probability at most $1/4$.

Guidelines: Let S' be any set that contains $\llbracket \varphi \rrbracket$ and satisfies $|S'| \geq 4 \cdot 2^m / \rho^2$ for some $\rho > 0$, to be fixed later. Suppose $Z = \text{mc}(\psi)$ and $Z' = \text{mc}(\psi')$ where ψ' is some fixed formula that satisfies $\llbracket \psi' \rrbracket = S'$. Show that if $Z \geq 1$, then $|Z' - 0.001| \geq 0.999$. Apply LHL to S' and find a suitable parameter $\rho > 0$.